

EXECUTIVE DECISION RECORD SHEET

Name of decision maker:	Councillor Andrew Williams
Portfolio:	Corporate and Contracted Services
Date of Portfolio Holder Decision:	27/08/19

Title of Decision:

To advertise and enact the Borough of Dacorum (Village Centre, Leverstock Green) (Free Parking Places) (No.2) Order 2019 Traffic Regulation Order

Decisions made and reasons:

It is proposed to introduce Borough of Dacorum (Village Centre, Leverstock Green,) (Free Parking Places) (No. 2) Order 2019 to correct a drafting error in the Borough of Dacorum (Village Centre, Leverstock Green,) (Free Parking Places) (No. 1) Order 2019 which limit unloading luggage to two minutes. This condition to park is not being enforced.

The new Order reflects the current the way the restrictions in the No.1 Order currently exist on street.

Site notices will be erected in the affected area to inform drivers of the new Order

Reports considered: (here reference can be made to specific documents)

No new reports to consider

The Leverstock Green Parking Consultation Final Report contains details of the statutory consultation relating to the (No.1) Order

Officers/Councillors/Ward Councillors/Stakeholders to be Consulted:

The following agencies/individuals were consulted on the introduction of the (No.1) scheme:

Hertfordshire Constabulary
Hertfordshire Fire and Rescue
East of England Ambulance Service
Hertfordshire Highways – Traffic Order Manager
Leverstock Green ward councillors
Retail units fronting the parking area
Residential premises above the retail units
Community Centre
Library

Site notices will be erected in the affected area to inform drivers of the consultation

This is not a consultation

Hertfordshire Highways – Traffic Order Manager has been consulted
Site notices will be erected in the affected area to inform drivers of the Order

EXECUTIVE DECISION RECORD SHEET

Deputy Monitoring Officer Comments:

The Road Traffic regulation Act 1984 sets out the legal basis for making a Traffic Regulation Order ("TRO"). A TRO can be challenged by way of judicial review within 6 weeks of it being made. Officers should ensure that all the correct procedures have been followed to minimise the risk of challenge.

Deputy S151 Officer Comments:

The costs of this proposal will be met from within existing approved Service budgets.

Implications:

Risk:

The intention of advertising the Order is to comply with legislation and therefore avoid the risk of non-compliance.

Value for Money:

Based on previous projects, the costs of implementation are estimated to be approximately £800 consisting of advertising costs.

The cost will be taken from the Parking Services 'consultancy budget'.

Options Considered and reasons for rejection:

Option considered and rejected, not to enact the (No.2) Order and leave the drafting error in the current Order.

Portfolio Holders Signature:

Date:

Details of any interests declared and any dispensations given by the Standards Committee:

For Member Support Officer use only

Date Decision Record Sheet received from portfolio holder: 21/08/19

Date Decision Published: 27/08/19

Decision No: PH-021-19

Date of Expiry of Call-In Period: 03/09/19

Date any Call-In received or decision implemented:

BACKGROUND

The (No.1) Order has been found to contain a drafting error, the provision of the Order affected by the drafting error is not being enforced. The 2 hour, no return

EXECUTIVE DECISION RECORD SHEET

within 2 hours parking bay restriction is not affected by the drafting error and continues to be enforced.